OPTICAL INSPECTION OF A SPECIMEN USING MULTI-CHANNEL RESPONSES FROM THE SPECIMEN

Inventors:

BIN-MING BENJAMIN TSAI, United States Citizen 19801 Scotland Drive Saratoga, California 95070 United States of America

RUSSELL M. PON, United States Citizen 600 Park View Drive, #313 Santa Clara, California 95054 United States of America

ALLSTON L. JONES
PHILLIPS, MOORE, LEMPIO & FINLEY
385 Sherman Avenue, Suite 6
Palo Alto, CA 94306-1840
United States of America
Telephone No.: (415) 324-1677
Facsimile No.: (415) 324-1678
email address: alj888@aol.com

PATENT Atty. Docket No.: 2942.25 (ALJ)

OPTICAL INSPECTION OF A SPECIMEN USING MULTI-CHANNEL RESPONSES FROM THE SPECIMEN

Field of the Invention:

5

The field of the present invention is optical inspection of specimens (e.g., semiconductor wafers), more specifically, probing a specimen to create at least two independent optical responses from the specimen (e.g., brightfield and darkfield reflections) with those responses being considered in conjunction with each other to determine the occurrence of defects on or in the specimen.

Background of the Invention:

In the past there have been three techniques for optically inspecting wafers. Generally they are brightfield illumination, darkfield illumination and spatial filtering.

inspecting pattern defects on a wafer with the broadband light source minimizing contrast variations and coherent noise that is present in narrow band brightfield systems. The most successful example of such a brightfield wafer inspection system is the KLA Model 2130 (KLA Instruments Corporation) that can perform in either a die-to-die comparison mode or a repeating cell-to-cell comparison mode. Brightfield wafer inspection systems, however, are not very sensitive to small particles.

35 Under brightfield imaging, small particles scatter light away from the collecting aperture, resulting in a reduction of the returned energy. When the particle is small compared to the optical point spread function of

١

the lens and small compared to the digitizing pixel, the brightfield energy from the immediate areas surrounding the particle usually contribute a lot of energy, thus the very small reduction in returned energy due to the particle size makes the particle difficult to detect. Further, the small reduction in energy from the small particle is often masked out by reflectivity variations of the bright surrounding background such that small particles cannot be detected without a lot of false detections. Also, if the small particle is on an area of very low reflectivity, which occurs for some process layers on wafers and always for reticles, photomasks and flat panel displays, background return is already low thus a further reduction due to the presence of a particle is very difficult to detect.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Many instruments currently available for detecting small particles on wafers, reticles, photo masks, flat panels and other specimens use darkfield imaging. Under darkfield imaging, flat, specular areas scatter very little signal back at the detector, resulting in a dark image, hence the term darkfield. Meanwhile, any presence of surface features and objects that protrude above the surface scatter more light back to the detector. In darkfield imaging, the image is normally dark except areas where particles, or circuit features exist.

A darkfield particle detection system can be built based on the simple assumption that particles scatter more light than circuit features. While this works well for blank and unpatterned specimens, in the presence of circuit features it can only detect large particles which protrude above the circuit features. The resulting detection sensitivity is not satisfactory for advanced VLSI circuit production.

There are instruments that address some aspects

of the problems associated with darkfield. One instrument, by Hitachi, uses the polarization characteristics of the scattered light to distinguish between particles and normal circuit features. This is based on the assumption that particles depolarize the light more than circuit features during the scattering process. However, when the circuit features become small, on the order of, or smaller than, the wavelength of light, the circuit can depolarize the scattered light as much as particles. As a result, only larger particles can be detected without false detection of small circuit features.

Another enhancement to darkfield, which is used by Hitachi, Orbot and others, positions the incoming darkfield illuminators such that the scattered light from circuit lines oriented at 0°, 45° and 90° are minimized. While this works on circuit lines, the scattering light from corners are still quite strong. Additionally, the detection sensitivity for areas with dense circuit patterns has to be reduced to avoid the false detection of corners.

Another method in use today to enhance the detection of particles is spatial filtering. Under plane wave illumination, the intensity distribution at the back focal plane of a lens is proportional to the Fourier transform of the object. Further, for a repeating pattern, the Fourier transform consists of an array of light dots. By placing a filter in the back focal plane of the lens which blocks out the repeating light dots, the repeating circuit pattern can be filtered out and leave only non-repeating signals from particles and other defects. Spatial filtering is the main technology employed in wafer inspection machines from Insystems, Mitsubishi and OSI.

The major limitation of spatial filtering based instruments is that they can only inspect areas with repeating patterns or blank areas. That is a fundamental

limitation of that technology.

In the Hitachi Model IS-2300 darkfield spatial filtering is combined with die-to-die image subtraction for wafer inspection. Using this technique, non-repeating pattern areas on a wafer can be inspected by the die-to-die However, even with die-to-die comparison, it comparison. is still necessary to use spatial filtering to obtain good sensitivity in the repeating array areas. In the dense memory cell areas of an wafer, the darkfield signal from the circuit pattern is usually so much stronger than that from the circuit lines in the peripheral areas that the dynamic range of the sensors are exceeded. As a result, either small particles in the array areas cannot be seen due to saturation, or small particles in the peripheral areas cannot be detected due to insufficient signal strength. Spatial filtering equalizes the darkfield signal so that small particles can be detected in dense or sparse areas at the same time.

20

25

30

35

10

15

There are two major disadvantages to the Hitachi darkfield/spatial filtering/die-to-die inspection machine. First, the machine detects only particle defects, pattern defects can be detected. Second, since the filtered images are usually dark without circuit features, it is not possible to do an accurate die-to-die image alignment, which is necessary for achieving pood cancellation in a subtraction algorithm. Hitachi's solution is to use an expensive mechanical stage of very high precision, but even with such a stage, due to the pattern placement variations on the wafer and residual errors of the stage, the achievable sensitivity is limited roughly to particles that are 0.5 μm and larger. limit comes from the alignment errors in die-to-die image subtraction.

Other than the activity by Hitachi, Tencor

Instruments (U.S. Patent 5,276,498), OSI (U.S. Patent 4,806,774) and IBM (U.S. Patent 5,177,559), there has been no interest in a combination of brightfield and darkfield techniques due to a lack of understanding of the advantages presented by such a technique.

5

10

15

30

All of the machines that are available that have both brightfield and darkfield capability, use a single light source for both brightfield and darkfield illumination and they do not use both the brightfield and the darkfield images together to determine the defects.

The conventional microscope that has both brightfield and darkfield illumination, has a single light source that provides both illuminations simultaneously, thus making it impossible to separate the brightfield and darkfield results from each other.

In at least one commercially available microscope
from Zeiss it is possible to have separate brightfield and
darkfield illumination sources simultaneously, however,
there is a single detector and thus there is no way to
separate the results of the brightfield and darkfield
illumination from each other. They simply add together
into one combined full-sky illumination.

It would be advantageous to have a brightfield/darkfield dual illumination system where the advantages of both could be maintained resulting in a enhanced inspection process. The present invention provides such a system as will be seen from the discussion below. In the present invention there is an unexpected result when brightfield and darkfield information is separately detected and used in conjunction with each other.

Summary of the Invention:

5

10

15

20

25

30

The present invention provides a method and inspection system to inspect a first pattern on a specimen for defects using at least two optical responses therefrom. To perform that inspection the first pattern is compared to a second pattern that has been caused to produce the same at least two optical responses. To perform the inspection. the same point on the specimen is caused to emit at least two optical responses. Each of those optical responses (e.g., darkfield and brightfield images) are separately detected, and separately compared with the same responses from the same point of the second pattern to separately develop difference signals for each of the types of optical responses. Then those separately difference signals are processed to unilaterally determine a first pattern defect list.

That first pattern defect list can then be carried a step further to identify known non-performance degrading surface features and to exclude them from the actual defect list that is presented to the system user.

Another variation is to introduce additional probes to produce more than two optical responses from the specimen to further refine the technique to determine the defect list.

Additionally, if the specimen permits transmitted illumination, optical response detection systems can be include below the specimen to collect each of the transmitted responses to further refine the defect list and to include defects that might be internal to the specimen.

35 Brief Description of the Figures:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a prior art inspection system that performs brightfield or darkfield

inspection of a wafer serially using a single signal processing channel.

Figure 2a is a graph of the results of a prior art brightfield inspection wherein a threshold level is determined and all signals having a signal above that value are classified as defects.

5

10

20

25

30

35

Figure 2b is a graph of the results of a prior art darkfield inspection wherein a threshold level is determined and all signals having a signal above that value are classified as defects.

Figure 2c is a graph of the results of a prior art full-sky inspection wherein a threshold level is determined and all signals having a signal above that value are classified as defects.

Figure 3 is a plot of the brightfield difference versus the darkfield difference signals of the prior art with defects being associated with those regions of the wafer being tested that have a brightfield and darkfield difference signal that exceeds both thresholds.

Figure 4 is a block diagram of a prior art inspection system that has been modified to perform brightfield and darkfield inspection of a wafer in two separate signal processing channels.

Figure 5a is a block diagram of the inspection system of the present invention that performs brightfield and darkfield inspection of a wafer in the same processing channel.

Figure 5b is a block diagram of the defect detector shown in Figure 5a.

Figure 6 is a plot of the brightfield difference versus the darkfield difference of the present invention with defects being associated with those regions that have not been programmed into the post processor as being those regions that are not of interest.

Figure 7 is a plot of the combination of the plots of Figures 3 and 6 to illustrate where the brightfield and darkfield thresholds in the prior art would

have to be placed to avoid all of the regions of this plot that are not of interest.

Figure 8 is a simplified schematic diagram of a first embodiment of the present invention that uses separate brightfield and darkfield illumination sources.

Figure 9 is a simplified schematic diagram of a second embodiment of the present invention that uses a single illumination source for both brightfield and darkfield illumination.

Figure 10 is a simplified schematic diagram of a third embodiment of the present invention that is similar to that of Figure 8 with two darkfield illumination sources, two brightfield illumination sources, and two darkfield detectors and two brightfield detectors.

Figure 11 is a simplified schematic diagram of a fourth embodiment of the present invention that uses separate brightfield and darkfield illumination sources for inspecting a specimen that is transmissive.

20

25

30

35

15

Description of the Preferred Embodiment:

Historically, the majority of defect inspection machines perform using either brightfield or darkfield illumination, not both. Thus the typical prior art machines are as shown in Figure 1 with either brightfield or darkfield illumination.

system of Figure 1, wafer illuminated by the appropriate brightfield or darkfield light source 10 or 12, respectively. During operation, sensor 16, shown here as a TDI (time delay integration) Analog with PLLAD (Phase Locked Loop to Digital conversion), captures the image from wafer 14 and loads a signal representative of that image into input buffer 18, From buffer 18 the data is fed to defect (e.g., RAM). detector 22 where the data from the sample being inspected is compared to a similar sample or reference wafer under

Ĺ

control of delay 20 which provides the timing to allow for the die-to-die or cell-to-cell comparison by defect detector 22. The data from defect detector 22 is then applied to post processor 24 where the sizing and locating of the defects is performed to generate a defect list with a defect threshold value (e.g., KLA Instruments Models 2111, 2131 are such brightfield inspection machines).

5

10

15

20

25

If the machine of Figure 1 were to be modified to perform both brightfield and darkfield inspection with separate brightfield and darkfield results, which is not currently done by any available inspection machine, one obvious way to perform the brightfield and darkfield functions would be to perform those functions serially with no interaction between the data of each run. In one run a light source would be employed to provide brightfield illumination 10, and in another run a light source would provide darkfield illumination 12. Assuming brightfield illumination was used in the first run as described above for a currently available brightfield inspections system, in a subsequent run, wafer 14 could be illuminated with darkfield illumination 12 and sensor 16 would then image the darkfield image of wafer 14 which is then operated on by buffer 18, delay 20, defect detector 22 and post processor 24 as was the brightfield image to create a darkfield defect list 28 with post processor 24 separately generating a darkfield defect threshold value.

a data point in the brightfield defect list 26 has a value that exceeds the brightfield defect threshold value resulting in that point on wafer 14 being identified as including a defect. Separately, and using the same operational technique, the darkfield defect list values that exceed the darkfield defect threshold correspond to points on wafer 14 being identified as being occupied by a defect. Therefore, it is entirely possible that points on

wafer 14 may be identified as being occupied by a defect by one of the brightfield and darkfield imaging and not both, and possibly by both. Thus, post processor 24 would provide two individual, uncorrelated, defect lists, one of defects detected using brightfield illumination 10 and the second using darkfield illumination 12.

Figures 2a and 2b illustrate the defect decision technique of the prior art. Namely, the establishment of a linear decision boundary (34 or 40) separately in each of the brightfield data and the darkfield data with everything represented by signals having values (32 or 38) below that boundary being accepted as a non-defect areas on wafer 14, while the areas on wafer 14 that correspond with the signals having values (30 or 36) above that boundary being identified as defect regions. As will be seen from the discussion with respect to the present invention, the defect/non-defect boundary in reality is not linear which the prior art defect detection machines assume it to be.

Referring next to Figure 3, there is shown a plot of the brightfield difference versus the darkfield difference with the individually determined brightfield and darkfield thresholds 34 and 40, respectively, indicated. Thus, given the prior art if it were decided to use both brightfield and darkfield data to determine more accurately which are the actual defects, which is not done, then only those regions associated with both brightfield and darkfield difference signals that exceed the respective brightfield or darkfield threshold levels would be identified as defects (i.e., region 38 in Figure 3).

In the few machines that are available that simultaneously use both brightfield and darkfield illumination, they do so to provide what has come to be known as full-sky illumination (e.g., Yasuhiko Hara, Satoru Fushimi, Yoshimasa Ooshima and Hitooshi Kubota, "Automating

Inspection of Aluminum Circuit Pattern of LSI Wafers", Electronics and Communications in Japan, Part 2, Vol. 70, No.3, 1987). In such a system, wafer 14 is simultaneously illuminated by both brightfield and darkfield illumination 10 and 12, probably from a single illumination source, and employs a single sensor 16 and single processing path 18-24 that results in a single output as shown in Figure 2c from the full-sky illumination, not the two responses from the two separate runs as just discussed above. Here the threshold is also an unrealistic linear threshold.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Figure 4 illustrates a second modification of the defect detection instruments of the prior art to perform brightfield and darkfield defect This can be accomplished by including two concurrently. data processing channels, one for brightfield detection and second one for darkfield detection. In such instrument there would be either a single light source or dual brightfield and darkfield light sources that used either sequentially, or together in a full-sky mode, that provides both brightfield and darkfield illumination to The difference between the configuration shown wafer 14. here and that in Figure 1, is that the single processing channel of Figure 1 has been duplicated so that both the brightfield and the darkfield operations can be performed simultaneously or separately in the same way that each run was performed in the configuration of Figure 1 with each channel being substantially the same as the other. then results in the simultaneous and separate generation of brightfield defect list 26 and darkfield defect list 28, independent of each other.

A system as shown in Figure 4 has an advantage over that of Figure 1, if the processes of each path is synchronized with the other so that they each proceed at the speed of the slowest, in that the brightfield and darkfield inspections are done in a single scan resulting

ľ

(-

in the two defect lists, or maps, being in alignment, one with the other since the data is developed in parallel and concurrently. However, as with the prior art system of Figure 1, the system of Figure 4 results in independent brightfield and darkfield lists (26 and 28) each with an independently determined defect threshold that linearly determines what is a defect and what is not a defect. Thus, what is shown in, and the discussion which accompanies each of Figures 2a, 2b and 3, apply equally to the system of Figure 4.

Turning now to the present invention. Figure 5a is a block diagram representation of the present invention. The left side is similar to the left side of the prior art diagram of Figure 4 with the exception that the brightfield and darkfield images of wafer 14 are individually captured by brightfield and darkfield sensors 16 and 16', respectively, with the signals representing those images from sensors 16 and 16' being applied individually to brightfield and darkfield buffers 18 and 18', respectively, with individual delay lines 20 and 20' therefrom. That is where the similarity to the extension of the prior art of Figure 4 ends.

From buffers 18 and 18', and delays 20 and 20', the signals therefrom, those signals being representative of both the brightfield and the darkfield images, are applied to a single defect detector 41 (shown in and discussed in more detail relative to Figure 5b) where the information from both images is utilized to determine the locations of the defects on wafer 14. The overall, combined, unilaterally determined defect list from defect detector 41 is then operated on by post processor 42 to identify the pattern defects 44 and particles 46. Post processor 41 can be based on a high performance general purpose Motorola 68040 CPU based VME (Virtual Machine Environment) bus processing boards or a high performance

post processor board that is similar to the post processor used in KLA Instruments Model 2131.

It is known that semiconductor wafers often include surface features such as contrast variations, grain and grain clusters, as well as process variations that may be a chemical smear, each of which do not impact the performance of a die produced on such a wafer. Each of these surface features also have a typical range of brightfield and darkfield image values associated with them. Additionally, as with any imaging system, there is some noise associated with the operation of the detection system and that noise causes variations in the brightfield and darkfield difference signals at the low end of each.

Thus, if the typical range of brightfield and darkfield difference values of those surface features and system noise are plotted against each other, then they generally appear as in Figure 6. Here it can be seen that system noise 54, surface contrast variations 56 and grain 58 appear for low values of both brightfield and darkfield difference values, process variations are over about 75% of the range for brightfield and mid-range for darkfield difference values, and grain clusters appear in the higher values of both brightfield and darkfield difference values. Ideally the best system would be one that can exclude these predictable variations without identifying them as defects, and to be able to thus identify all other responses 48 as defects.

Figure 5b is a partial block diagram of the circuit shown in Figure 5a with added detail of defect detector 41. In this simplified block diagram of defect detector 41, the input signals are received from input buffers 18 and 18', and delays 20 and 20', by filters 90, 90', 92 and 92', respectively. Each of filters 90, 90', 92 and 92' are used to pre-process the image data and can be

5

10

15

20

25

implemented as 3X3 or 5X5 pixel digital filters that are similar to those used for the same purpose The pre-processed images from Instruments Model 2131. filters 90 and 92, and 90' and 92', are applied to subtractor 94 and 94', respectively, where the brightfield and darkfield images are compared with the delayed version with which a comparison is performed. Where, for die-todie comparison, the delay is typically one die wide, and for cell-to-cell comparison, the delay is typically one cell wide, with the same delay being used in both the brightfield and darkfield paths. Thus, the output information from subtractors 94 and 94' is, respectively, the brightfield and darkfield defect information from wafer That information, in turn, is applied to both a two dimensional histogram circuit 96 and post processor 42. Thus, that information applied directly to post processor 42 provides the axis values for Figure 6, while two dimensional histogram circuit 96 forms the two dimension histogram of the defect data with brightfield difference on one axis and darkfield difference on the other axis in Figure 6. That histogram information is then applied to a defect decision algorithm 98 to determine the boundaries of the known types of surface and other variations (e.g., noise, grain, variation, contrast variations, and grain clusters, and any others that are known to result routinely from a particular process that do not present an operational problem on the finished item).

Figure 7 illustrates what would have to be done
30 with the prior art approach to avoid the identification of
any of those predictable and non-injurious responses as
defects. Namely, the linearly determined brightfield and
darkfield thresholds 34 and 40 would have to be selected so
that each is above the values of these expected responses.
35 Thus, region 38, the combined defect region, would be very
small resulting in a substantially useless approach to the
problem.

Referring again to Figure 6, on the other hand, since the present invention processes the individually brightfield and darkfield imaging developed simultaneously, defect detector 41 is programmed to define complex threshold functions for both the brightfield and darkfield difference values to exclude only those regions of expected variation and thus be able to look at the remainder of all of the difference values 48 for both brightfield and darkfield as illustrated in Figure 6 as all of the regions not identified by the expected causality. Stated in other words, the present invention can consider all values, 0-255 for each of the two difference signals that are not contained in regions 50, 52, 54, 56 and 58 of Figure 6 as representing defects including low values from both the brightfield and the darkfield differences.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

One physical optical embodiment of the present invention is shown in the simplified schematic diagram of Figure 8. Here, wafer 14 is illuminated directly by a darkfield illumination source 12 (e.g., a laser), and a brightfield illumination source 10 (e.g., a mercury arc lamp) via lenses 60 and 62 and beamsplitter 64.

The combined brightfield and darkfield image reflected by wafer 14 travels upward through condensing lens 60, through beamsplitter 64 to beamsplitter 66. At beamsplitter 66 the brightfield image continues upward to condensing lens 72 from which it is projected onto brightfield sensor 16. The darkfield image, on the other hand, is reflected by a dichroic coating on beamsplitter 66 given the frequency difference in the brightfield and darkfield light sources to spatial filter 68, to relay lens 70 and onto sensor darkfield image 16'.

In the embodiment described here, the darkfield illumination is provided by a laser with spatial filter 68 corresponding to the Fourier transform plane of the image

of wafer 14. In such an embodiment, spatial filter 68 is constructed to selectively black out non-defective, regular patterns, to further improve defect detection.

By using two separate light sources, brightfield illumination from a mercury arc lamp via beamsplitter 64 and darkfield illumination from a laser, with the ability to perform spatial filtering, as well as the laser brightness/power properties, the light loss is limited to a few percent when the brightfield and darkfield information is separated.

5

10

15

20

25

30

The use of a narrow band laser source for darkfield illumination makes it possible to select either a longer wavelength laser, such as HeNe at 633 nm, or laser diodes in the rage of about 630 nm to 830 nm, and separate the darkfield response from the overall response with the dichroic coating on beamsplitter 66, or any laser could be used with the darkfield response separated out with a laser line interference filter, such as a Model 52720 from ORIEL. In the latter case with the narrow band spectral filter, the brightfield system can use a mercury line filter, such as a Model 56460 from ORIEL. Additionally, a special, custom design laser narrow band notch filter can also be obtained from ORIEL. Thus the spatial filtering is applied only to the darkfield path, so the brightfield path will not be affected in image quality.

The use of narrow band light sources (e.g., lasers for darkfield) is necessary for spatial filtering. The narrow band nature of a laser also allows easier separation of brightfield and darkfield signals by a filter or beamsplitter.

Spatial filter 68 can by made by exposing a piece of a photographic negative in place as in Figure 8, then remove and develop that negative, and then reinsert the

ŧ,

developed film sheet back at location 68. Alternatively, spatial filter 86 can be implemented with an electrically addressed SLM (Spatial Light Modulator), such as an LCD (Liquid Crystal Display), from Hughes Research Lab.

5

10

15

20

25

30

The preferred approach for the separation of the darkfield image information from the overall response, given the choice of optical components presently available, is the use of a beamsplitter 66 with a dichroic coating and a spatial filter 68 since it produces better control of the dynamic range/sensitivity of the system and the ability of the system to perform the simultaneous brightfield inspection with the image information. However, given advances in optical technology, the dichroic beamsplitter approach, or another approach not currently known, might prove more effective in the future while obtaining the same result.

Figure 9 is a schematic representation of a second embodiment of the present invention. In this embodiment a single laser 76 provides both brightfield and darkfield illumination of wafer 14 via beamsplitter 80 that reflects the light downward to condenser lens 78 and onto wafer 14. Simultaneous brightfield and darkfield imaging is performed in this embodiment with darkfield detectors 74 at a low angle to wafer 14 and brightfield sensor 82 directly above wafer 14 receiving that information from wafer 14 via condenser lens 78 and through beamsplitter 80. To optimize defect detection using this embodiment, the output signals from brightfield detector 82 and darkfield detectors 74 are processed simultaneously to detect the defects of interest.

The approaches described here, using broadband brightfield and spatial filtered darkfield images in dieto-die comparison, overcomes all the limitations of existing machines. The existence of the brightfield image

allows for a very accurate alignment of images from two comparison dies. By pre-aligning the darkfield and brightfield sensors so they both image the exact same area, the alignment offsets only need to be measured in the brightfield channel and then applied to both channels. This is possible since the offset between the brightfield and darkfield sensors is fixed, having been adjusted and calibrated at the time of machine manufacture, thus such offset remains fixed in machine operation with that offset Thus the high speed alignment offset remaining known. measurement electronics need not be duplicated for the darkfield channel. Using the alignment information from the brightfield images, the darkfield channel can also achieve a very accurate die-to-die alignment so detection of small particles is no longer limited by the residual alignment error. As stated above, the use of spatial filtering in the darkfield processing is currently preferred to filter out most of the repeating patterns and straight line segments, equalizing the dynamic range so small particles can be detected in both dense and sparse areas in one inspection.

10

15

20

25

30

35

In addition, the simultaneous consideration of darkfield and brightfield images offers significantly more information. For example, because brightfield imaging permits the detection of both pattern and particle defects and darkfield imaging permits the detection only of particles, the difference of the two results is pattern defects only. This ability to separate out particles from pattern defects automatically in real time is an unique capability of the technique of the present invention, which is of great value in wafer inspection systems. For this particular application, since darkfield imaging is more sensitive to particles than brightfield imaging, the darkfield imaging sensitivity can be slightly reduced to match that of brightfield imaging so that the defects detected by both channels are particles and defects

detected only by brightfield imaging are pattern defects. Another example is inspection of metal interconnect layers of semiconductor wafers. One would also expect that by combining the results from darkfield and brightfield imaging, nuisance defects from metal grain can be better separated from real defects.

5

10

15

20

25

30

brightfield and darkfield images, corresponding delayed images, could be collected and stored individually, and then fed, in alignment, into defect In order to perform the detector 41 as in Figure 5a. detection in this way a dynamic RAM that is Gigabytes in size would be necessary to store the -data and the data would have to be read out of that RAM in registration with each other as is done in the real time process of Figure 5a as discussed above. While this is feasible and may become attractive in the future, given today's technology the preferred approach is to inspect the wafer in both brightfield and darkfield in real time for faster time to results with this approach being more cost effective in today's market.

In whatever implementation that is used, the brightfield and darkfield images from the same point on wafer 14 are observed by two different detectors. It is very important to know from the same location on wafer 14, what the relationship of the brightfield and darkfield images are (e.g., where the darkfield signal is strong and the brightfield signal is weak). Simply adding the two signals together does not yield the same result — that differentiation is cancelled out which reduces the ability to detect defects.

What the present invention provides is different illumination at different angles, which is separated out to yield a full characteristic of what is actually occurring on wafer 14. To perform this operation, it is necessary

that the two sensors be aligned and registered with each other. Thus, since that alignment and registration are expensive and increase the complexity of the defect detection system, the advantages that have been recognized by the present invention were not known since that has not been done in the prior art.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Further, while the discussion up to this point has been limited to using single frequency brightfield and darkfield illumination for defect detection, the technique of the present invention can naturally be extended to include more channels of information (e.g., multiple frequencies of both brightfield and darkfield The key to this extension is the same as illumination). has been discussed for the two channels of information discussed above, namely, each would have to be applied to the same region of wafer 14 and individually detected with a separate detector, followed by a combination of the detected results as has been discussed with relation to Figure 6 for just the two.

If there are more than two channels of information, Figure 6 becomes multi-dimensional. While it is not possible to illustrate more than three dimensions on paper, computers and numerical methods are readily available to deal with multi-dimensional information.

Figure 10 is Figure 8 modified to handle multiple brightfield and darkfield images, namely two of each. Rather than repeat the entire description of Figure 8, let it be understood that all of the elements of Figure 8 remain here and function in the same way as in Figure 8. For the second darkfield channel, lasers 12' that operate at a different frequency than laser 12 have been added to illuminate the same location on the surface of specimen 14. To provide the second brightfield illumination to specimen 14, light source 10' of a different frequency than light

source 10, lens 62' and beamsplitter 64' have been provided to also direct brightfield illumination to again the same location on specimen 14. In the reflective mode also the operation is similar to that of Figure 8 with the addition of beamsplitter 66' with a dichroic film thereon to reflect light of the frequency from the second lasers 12' to spatial filter 68', lens 70' and detector 16". Further, beamsplitter 73 with a dichroic film thereon to reflect light of the frequency from one of the brightfield illumination sources 10 and 10' to detector 16'", with the light passing through beamsplitter 73 being light of the frequency of the other brightfield illumination since the other light has been subtracted from the direct reflected beam by beamsplitters 66, 66' and 73.

It should be understood that the embodiment of Figure 10 is just one modification of the embodiment that is shown in Figure 8. To particularly identify specific defects from other defects, there is any number of combinations of the various types of components that may need to be employed. While those specific embodiments may be different from that discussed here, the concept remains the same, the use of multiple channels of information for making defect decisions, unlike the prior art which relies on a single channel of information, namely either darkfield or brightfield, not both.

Alternately, multiple passes with different wavelengths of brightfield and darkfield light in each pass could be used, for example.

Additionally, the technique discussed here for wafers could also be extended to transmissive materials that one might want to detect defects on or in. In such an application, transmitted brightfield and darkfield light could also be detected and integrated with the reflected brightfield and darkfield signals to determine the

Ĺ

locations of various defects. Figure 11 illustrates a simplified embodiment to accomplish that. The difference between what is shown here and in Figure 8, is that only similar light detection components are reproduced beneath specimen 14'.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The combined transmitted brightfield and darkfield image information travels downward from the bottom surface of specimen 14' through condensing lens 60^T to beamsplitter 66^T. At beamsplitter 66^T the brightfield image continues downward to condensing lens 72^T from which it is projected onto transmitted brightfield sensor 16^T. The transmitted darkfield image, on the other hand, is reflected by a dichroic coating on beamsplitter 66^T given the frequency difference in the brightfield and darkfield light sources to spatial filter 68^T, to relay lens 70^T and onto sensor darkfield image 16^T'.

The concepts of the present invention have been discussed above for the specific case of brightfield and darkfield illumination and independent detection of the brightfield and darkfield responses from the specimen. In the general case the present invention includes several elements:

- a) at least one probe to produce at least two independent optical responses from the same area of the same die of the specimen being inspected and if more than one probe is used all of the probes are aligned to direct their energy to the same area of the same die of the specimen;
- b) individual detection of each of the optical responses and comparison of each response with a similar response from the same area of another die of the specimen with the responses from the two die being compared to create a difference signal for that optical

response; and

c) processing the multiple response difference signals together to unilaterally determine a first pattern defect list.

This generalized process can also be extended as has the brightfield-darkfield example given above by post processing the first pattern defect list to identify known non-performance degrading surface features and eliminating them from the final pattern defect list.

10

15

20

25

In the specific discussion of the figures above one or more probes where discussed to produce two or more In Figure 9 there is a single probe, optical responses. laser 76, that is providing both brightfield and darkfield illumination of the specimen, wafer 14, and there are two detectors, darkfield detectors independent brightfield detector 82 for two channels of information. In Figure 8 there are two probes, laser 12 that is providing both darkfield illumination of the specimen, wafer 14, and lamp 10 that is providing brightfield illumination of the specimen; and there are two independent detectors 16 and 16' for reflections of the brightfield and darkfield illuminations respectively for two channels of information. Figure 10 is an extension of the system of Figure 8 with a second darkfield and brightfield source being added thus making for four probes, as well as an additional one of each a darkfield detector brightfield detector making for four channels of information. Also, Figure 11 is similar to Figure 8 with two probes, brightfield and darkfield illumination, and the addition of the detection of transmitted brightfield and darkfield radiation for a total of four channels of information, reflected and transmitted brightfield and reflected and transmitted darkfield.

35

30

In each of the examples given above, there has been no frequency or phase shift between the illumination

(

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

emitted by the probe and the detector, other than for sorting between the brightfield and darkfield signals. Fluorescence is a well known response by some materials when exposed to radiation within a particular frequency When a material fluoresces the secondary radiation band. from that material is at a lower frequency (higher than the frequency (wavelength) wavelength) of inducing, or probe, illumination. With some material, to detect potential defects it may be advantageous to be able frequency shift produced the fluorescence. Since the frequency at which each material is well known, dichroic coatings fluoresces beamsplitters and detectors that are sensitive to those frequencies can be included in the imaging path to detect that effect together with others that are considered of value.

Similarly, when there is a difference in the optical path from the probe to different portions of the surface of the specimen (e.g., a height variation, perhaps in the form of a step on the surface of the specimen, or different regions with different indices of refraction) the reflected illumination will be phase shifted with respect to the probe emitted illumination. for some types of defects it would prove advantageous to have phase information as one channel of information to the defect detector. Interferometers are readily available to detect this phase shift, and can also detect contrast variations on the surface of the specimen. There are a variety of interferometers available including Mach-Zehnder, Mirau, Jamin-Lebedeff, as well as beam-shearing interferometers to serve this purpose. Additionally, the magnitude of the gradient of the change in phase can be monitored with a or Nomarski, interference differential, contrast microscope.

Also related to phase information is polarization

changes that may occur as a result of a feature of the specimen, that also could provide a channel of information. For instance, if the specimen is spatially varying in birefringence, transmitted probe light will reveal this information. Similarly, if the specimen polarization-selective reflection or scattering properties, reflected probe light will reveal this information. polarization shift of the probe light can also be detected with readily available detectors and provide an additional channel of information for the inspection process of a specimen from either above or below the specimen depending on the construction of the specimen and the angle of illumination.

5

10

20

25

30

35

15 Confocal illumination is another type of probe that might be considered to make the detection of the topography of the specimen another channel of information.

Yet another technique that can be used with most of the probe variations that have been mentioned, as well as others that have not, and may not have yet been discovered, is the inclusion of temporal information (e.g., pulsing the illumination on/off with a selected pattern) in the probe illuminations. That temporal signal then could be used in the detection step to sort, or demultiplex, the responses to that signal from the others present to simplify detection. Any time shift, or time delay, in that temporal signal could also be used in the detection step to determine topographical features that may be present on or in the specimen.

There are also several available cameras that have multiple sensors in the same package. An RGB (red-green-blue) camera is such a camera that utilizes three CCDs in the same envelope. The use of such a camera automatically yields alignment of all three sensors by the single alignment step of each CCD. Here each is a separate

sensor with individual signal processing.

5

10

15

In each of the embodiments of the present invention it is necessary that each of the probes be aligned to direct their energy to the same location on the specimen, and, also, that each of the detectors be aligned to image the same size and location on the specimen.

While this invention has been described in several modes of operation and with exemplary routines and apparatus, it is contemplated that persons skilled in the art, upon reading the preceding descriptions and studying the drawings, will realize various alternative approaches to the implementation of the present invention. It is therefore intended that the following appended claims be interpreted as including all such alterations and modifications that fall within the true spirit and scope to the present invention and the appended claims.